

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,491	02/11/2004		Rafail Zubok	532/2x2 (F-280 Cont I)	3254
51640	7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER, I	,	LITTENBERG, K	MILLER, CHERYL L		
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			3738	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/776,491	ZUBOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Miller	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	<u>ay 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 _. O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.		·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian ya muinama nt	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	. Action of form 1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/6/05. 579(05 cm	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 6, 2005 has been entered. It is noted to the applicant that although the IDS has been entered as the submission, no other papers were found attached to the RCE. The claims were finally rejected, however, the applicant did not respond to any of the previous rejections. The previous rejection has been maintained.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, and 13-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 15-19 of copending Application No. 10/382,702, and claims 1 and 3-9 of copending Application

Art Unit: 3738

No. 10/776,434, and claims 1, 3, 5-13, 15, and 16 of copending Application No. 10/776,471, and claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/776,651, and claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/776,650, and claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/776,656, and claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, and 11-19 of copending Application No. 10/776,488. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are either merely broader than the co-pending application claims, or simply use different terminology to describe the features of the invention, for instance saddle and toroidal both describing a surface with concave and convex arcs, and also, different radii and non-congruent both describing a similar surface. The current application claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, and 13-16 are merely broader or obvious equivalents of the co-pending application claims. Once applicant has received a patent for a species or a more specific embodiment, and is not entitled to a patent for the generic or broader invention. The more specific "anticipates" the broader. The patented claim "anticipates" the application claim. In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Further, with respect to the 702' 434' 471' 650' and 651' applications, these claim a product, an apparatus for replacing a intervertebral disc in a spinal column, however don't claim implanting/inserting the apparatus into the disc space. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implant the apparatus claimed in the 702' 434' 471' 650' and 651' applications, into an intervertebral space, since this is already claimed as its intended use.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,491 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, and 13-16 would be allowable in the case that the provisional double patenting rejection is overcome.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Muy Miller
Cheryl Miller

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER